

CROWN LAW

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

As at 1 January 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Attorney-General's Introduction.....	1
Solicitor-General's Introduction.....	2
Definitions	3
1. Prosecutions in New Zealand	4
2. Purpose and Principles of the Guidelines	4
3. Application of the Guidelines	5
"Private" prosecutions	5
4. The Independence of the Decision-maker.....	6
5. The Supervision of Prosecutions.....	6
6. The Decision to Prosecute	7
The test for prosecution	7
The evidential test.....	7
The public interest test.....	9
Public interest considerations for prosecution.....	9
Public interest considerations against prosecution.....	11
No prosecution	12
7. Reasons for Decisions	12
8. Reopening a Prosecution Decision	12
9. The Choice of Charges.....	12
10. Review of Charges	13
11. Statutory Consents to Prosecutions	13
12. Immunities from Prosecution	14
13. Witness Anonymity Orders	15
14. Bail	15
15. Disclosure.....	16
Initial disclosure	17
Further disclosure	17
Full disclosure	18
Additional disclosure.....	19
Trial on indictment.....	19
Information which the prosecutor does not intend to produce in evidence.....	19
Character of witnesses	20
Disclosure of any inducement or immunity given to a witness	20
Identity of informer.....	20

Minor offences	20
Obligations or requests under Official Information Act 1982	20
“Third party” disclosure	21
Contempt applications	21
16. Plea Discussions and Arrangements	21
17. The Prosecutor and Trial Fairness	23
18. Assistance to the Court	23
19. The Prosecutor and Sentencing	24
20. Principles relating to Indictments	24
21. Pre-trial Applications	25
22. Jury Selection	25
23. Retrials and Stay of Proceedings	26
24. Appeals from the Summary Jurisdiction	26
Questions of law	26
Sentence	27
25. Appeals: Pre-trial Rulings	28
26. Appeals: Sentence (Indictable)	29
27. Appeals: Reserving Questions of Law	30
28. Relationship Between Crown Solicitors and Enforcement Agencies	30
The Police or other investigator	30
Recipients of advice	30
The Police: post-committal	31
29. Victims	31
30. Media	31

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S INTRODUCTION

1. The prosecution system in New Zealand differs from most similar jurisdictions in that trials on indictment are conducted by Crown Solicitors - private practitioners appointed to prosecute under a warrant issued by the Governor-General. New Zealand is also one of the few jurisdictions remaining where summary prosecutions are conducted by specially trained members of the Police who need not be legally qualified.
2. New Zealand prosecutors provide a professional, open, fair and responsible prosecution service. These standards will continue through the day-to-day adherence to the values reflected in these Guidelines.
3. Under our constitutional arrangements the Attorney-General is responsible through Parliament to the citizens of New Zealand for prosecutions carried out by or on behalf of the Crown. In practice, however, the prosecution process is superintended by the Solicitor-General, who, pursuant to s 9A Constitution Act 1986, shares all the relevant powers vested in the office of Attorney-General.
4. The previous Prosecution Guidelines were promulgated in 1992. Since that time there have been substantial changes in the criminal justice system. Prosecutions have become much more complicated and there is now a large, complex and rapidly expanding set of principles arising from the implementation of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.
5. These revised Guidelines are a response to these changes, and reflect both my expectations and, I am sure, those of the judiciary, legal profession, enforcement agencies and the public, of prosecutors and prosecution services in the 21st Century.

Hon Christopher Finlayson
Attorney-General

SOLICITOR-GENERAL'S INTRODUCTION

1. Crown Solicitors were first appointed in New Zealand in 1864. Crown Solicitors were initially supervised by the Colonial Secretary's Office. In 1873 the Department of Justice and Crown Law Office were separately formed out of the Colonial Secretary's Office. Crown Solicitors were then supervised by the Department of Justice until 1918 when the responsibility for overseeing Crown Solicitors was transferred to the Crown Law Office. The Solicitor-General of the day, Mr J Salmond (later the Hon Sir John Salmond) described Crown Solicitors as being "...Officers of the Crown Law Office ... under the control and supervision of the Law Officers of the Crown".¹
2. The relationship between Crown Solicitors and the Solicitor-General has evolved considerably since 1918. Crown Solicitors are now substantially autonomous when making prosecution decisions and conducting trials. Nevertheless, all Crown Solicitors in New Zealand understand they are accountable to the Solicitor-General and are expected to comply with the views of the Solicitor-General on the rare occasions that the guidance of the Solicitor-General is required.
3. These Guidelines endeavour to reflect the respectful relationship which exists between the Solicitor-General, Crown Solicitors and prosecutors in enforcement agencies. The Guidelines also endeavour to incorporate international best practices. These Guidelines draw extensively upon the Prosecution Guidelines developed by the Director of Public Prosecutions for Australia in 1990, the Crown Prosecution Service for England and Wales in 2004, the Public Prosecution Service for Northern Ireland in 2005, and the Director of Public Prosecutions for Ireland in 2006.
4. These Guidelines could not have been developed without the invaluable assistance provided by Mr J Pike, General Counsel in Crown Law, Mr C Mander, Deputy Solicitor-General (Criminal) and members of the Crown Law Criminal Team.

Dr D B Collins QC
Solicitor-General

¹ Memorandum from the Solicitor-General to Attorney-General, 3 September 1918.

DEFINITIONS

Attorney-General:	The senior Law Officer of the Crown appointed under warrant by the Governor-General.
Solicitor-General:	The junior Law Officer of the Crown appointed under warrant by the Governor-General pursuant to the Royal Prerogative.
Law Officers:	The Attorney-General and the Solicitor-General.
Crown Solicitors:	Those who hold the warrant of Crown Solicitor for the following High Court districts: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Whangarei;• Auckland;• Hamilton;• Tauranga;• Rotorua;• New Plymouth;• Wanganui;• Palmerston North;• Napier, including Gisborne;• Wellington;• Nelson and Blenheim;• Christchurch and Greymouth;• Timaru;• Dunedin;• Invercargill.
Government agencies:	All departments listed in Schedule 1, State Sector Act 1988, Local Authorities, as defined in the Local Government Act 2002, and Crown entities as defined in the Crown Entities Act 2004 who have the ability to commence and conduct summary prosecutions.
New Zealand Police:	Includes all employees of the New Zealand Police, regardless of whether they are Constables as defined in the Policing Act 2008.
Enforcement Agencies:	Includes Government agencies and the New Zealand Police.
Law Enforcement Officer:	A member of an enforcement agency.

1. PROSECUTIONS IN NEW ZEALAND

- 1.1 As the Attorney-General has noted in his introduction to these Guidelines, New Zealand has no centralised decision making agency in relation to prosecution decisions either in relation to serious offences prosecuted on indictment in the High or District Courts or summarily in the District Court. Decisions in the first category of prosecutions are made by Crown Solicitors. There are currently 15 Crown Solicitors. Decisions in the second category may be made by a variety of agencies such as the New Zealand Police and various Government agencies (such as Ministry of Social Development, Inland Revenue Department, Department of Labour, New Zealand Customs Service, Ministry of Fisheries and the Civil Aviation Authority, to name but a few).
- 1.2 There is no overarching day to day supervision of the prosecution decisions made by any of these agencies. Neither the Attorney-General nor the Solicitor-General may give directions in relation to trials on indictment. Each Law Officer, however, has the statutory power to stay a prosecution. In relation to summary prosecutions brought by Government Departments listed in Schedule 1 of the State Sector Act 1988 (which excludes the New Zealand Police), the Solicitor-General can give binding directions.
- 1.3 Subject to any rulings by a Court, prosecutions in relation to any particular charges are brought and continued by the person in the relevant enforcement agency making the operative decisions, subject to any supervisory structures within the particular agency.
- 1.4 In the rare case of poor decision-making, the Court seized of a particular prosecution may exercise its inherent remedial powers to prevent an abuse of its processes. The Courts in New Zealand have declined to hear challenges to prosecution decisions either in summary or indictable cases brought by way of judicial review. Principled decisions in accordance with these Guidelines will help to ensure that the Courts in New Zealand will see little reason to countenance challenges to a prosecution decision.
- 1.5 The absence of any central decision making process has the effect of underscoring the importance of comprehensive guidelines, and the acceptance of core prosecution values. The acceptance by the New Zealand Police Prosecution Service of the value and purpose of these Guidelines will have the effect of ensuring consistency and common standards for all prosecutions.

2. PURPOSE AND PRINCIPLES OF THE GUIDELINES

- 2.1 These Guidelines are intended to assist all those persons whose function it is to enforce the criminal law by instituting and conducting a criminal prosecution. The purpose of these Guidelines is to ensure that the principles and practices as to prosecutions in New Zealand are underpinned by unified values. These values aim to achieve consistency in key decisions and trial practices. If these values are adhered to, New Zealand will continue to have prosecution processes that are open, fair to the defendant, witnesses and the victims of crime, and reflect the proper interests of society.

- 2.2 Specifically these Guidelines are intended to assist in determining:
- 2.2.1 Whether criminal proceedings should be commenced;
 - 2.2.2 What charges should be laid;
 - 2.2.3 Whether, if commenced, criminal proceedings should be continued or discontinued.
- And to:
- 2.2.4 Provide guidance for the conduct of criminal prosecutions; and,
 - 2.2.5 Establish standards of conduct and practice that the Law Officers expect from those whose duties include conducting prosecutions.
- 2.3 The Guidelines reinforce the expectation of the Law Officers and the Courts that a prosecutor will act in a manner that is fundamentally fair, detached and objective. As explained in *R v B (RB)* (2001) 152 CCC (3d) 437, 443 (BCCA) the prosecutor should act to foster a rational trial process, not one based on emotion or prejudice.

3. APPLICATION OF THE GUIDELINES

- 3.1 These Guidelines are to apply from 1 January 2010.
- 3.2 The Guidelines are not an instruction manual for prosecutors, nor do they necessarily cover every decision that must be made by prosecutors and enforcement agencies. They do not purport to lay down any rule of law. However, as the Guidelines reflect the aspirations and practices of prosecutors who adhere to the UN Guidelines on the Role of the Prosecutor and the International Association of Prosecutors Standards (1999), it is the expectation of the Law Officers that all prosecutions in New Zealand will be conducted in accordance with the principles in these Guidelines.
- 3.3 In relation to trials on indictment it is the expectation of the Solicitor-General that adherence to the Guidelines is a condition of the warrant held by each Crown Solicitor.

“PRIVATE” PROSECUTIONS

- 3.4 Despite periodic calls for the abolition of the right currently vested in any person to lay an information, so-called private prosecutions remain as a part of our system of criminal justice. They are now recognised in s 6 Criminal Disclosure Act 2008 and are bound by its provisions.
- 3.5 The Solicitor-General has neither a role nor authority in relation to private prosecutions, unless the power to stay a prosecution or to take over a trial on indictment is exercised. However, the Solicitor-General would expect law practitioners acting for an informant in a private prosecution, to adhere to the Law Society’s general rules of professional conduct and to all relevant principles in these Guidelines.

4. THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE DECISION-MAKER

- 4.1 The universally central tenet of a prosecution system under the rule of law in a democratic society is the independence of the prosecutor from persons or agencies that are not properly part of the prosecution decision-making process.
- 4.2 In practice in New Zealand the independence of the prosecutor refers to freedom from political or public pressure. All Government agencies should ensure wherever it is reasonably practicable to do so, that the initial prosecution decision is made by legal officers independently from other branches of the agency and acting in accordance with these Guidelines.
- 4.3 The independence of Crown Solicitors is explained further in Guideline 5.

5. THE SUPERVISION OF PROSECUTIONS

- 5.1 There is no direct control of prosecutions in New Zealand by any “central authority”. The supervision of all prosecutions of indictments presented by the Crown Solicitor is the responsibility of that Crown Solicitor. In carrying out the duties of that office, the Crown Solicitor is expected as a matter of practice to inform the Solicitor-General or Deputy Solicitor-General of any matter which ought to be communicated to those offices. Without limiting the expectation, this will cover any matter of general public or legal importance.
- 5.2 The relationship between the Solicitor-General and Crown Solicitors is governed in some matters by the Crown Solicitor Regulations 1994 and the Cabinet Directions for the Conduct of Crown Legal Business 1993. In relation to most practical matters, however, the relationship is based on practice and convention. It is the expectation of the Law Officers that opinions of the Solicitor-General in relation to matters within the province of a Crown Solicitor will be respected and complied with.
- 5.3 In practice, all prosecutions on indictment are commenced with the laying of an information in form SP2 by the Police, or the laying of an information where the defendant can and does elect trial by jury.
- 5.4 While the New Zealand Police are independent from other branches of the Government, these Guidelines are intended to assist the New Zealand Police as well as Government agencies in deciding:
 - 5.4.1 Whether to prosecute; and if so,
 - 5.4.2 What charges should be laid.
- 5.5 Following committal it is for the Crown Solicitor to decide what charges are laid.
- 5.6 It will continue to be the responsibility of the New Zealand Police to create and maintain supervisory structures in relation to charging and prosecuting in the summary hearing jurisdiction.

- 5.7 It is the expectation of the Law Officers that all Government agencies with prosecution functions will create or maintain decision-making structures to ensure that prosecution decisions and practice are in accordance with these Guidelines.

6. THE DECISION TO PROSECUTE

THE TEST FOR PROSECUTION

- 6.1 Prosecutions ought to be initiated or continued only where the prosecutor is satisfied that the Test for Prosecution is met. The Test for Prosecution is met if:
- 6.1.1 The evidence which can be adduced in Court is sufficient to provide a reasonable prospect of conviction – the Evidential Test; and
 - 6.1.2 Prosecution is required in the public interest – the Public Interest Test.
- 6.2 Each aspect of the test must be separately considered and satisfied before a decision to prosecute can be taken. The Evidential Test must be satisfied before the Public Interest Test is considered. The prosecutor must analyse and evaluate all of the evidence and information in a thorough and critical manner.

THE EVIDENTIAL TEST

- 6.3 A reasonable prospect of conviction exists if, in relation to an identifiable individual, there is credible evidence which the prosecution can adduce before a court and upon which evidence an impartial jury (or Judge), properly directed in accordance with the law, could reasonably be expected to be satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the individual who is prosecuted has committed a criminal offence.
- 6.4 It is necessary that each element of this definition be fully examined when considering the evidential test in each particular case.

Element	Description
Identifiable individual	There will often be cases where it is clear that an offence has been committed but there is difficulty identifying who has committed it. A prosecution can only take place where the evidence sufficiently identifies that a particular person is responsible. Where no such person can be identified, and the case cannot be presented as joint liability there can be no prosecution.
Credible evidence	This means evidence which is capable of belief. It <i>may</i> be necessary to question a witness before coming to a decision as to whether the evidence

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

Element	Description
	<p>of that witness could be accepted as credible. It may be that a witness is plainly at risk of being so discredited that no Court could safely rely on his/her evidence. In such a case it may be concluded that there is, having regard to all the evidence, no reasonable prospect of obtaining a conviction. If, however, it is judged that a Court in all the circumstances of the case could reasonably rely on the evidence of a witness, notwithstanding any particular difficulties, then such evidence is credible and must be taken into account.</p> <p>Prosecutors may be required to make an assessment of the quality of the evidence. Where there are substantial concerns as to the creditability of essential evidence, criminal proceedings may not be appropriate as the evidential test may not be capable of being met.</p> <p>Where there are credibility issues, prosecutors must look closely at the evidence when deciding if there is a reasonable prospect of conviction.</p>
Evidence which the prosecution can adduce	<p>Only evidence which is or reliably will be available, and legally admissible can be taken into account in reaching a decision to prosecute.</p> <p>Prosecutors should seek to anticipate even without pre-trial matters being raised whether it is likely that evidence will be admitted or excluded by the Court. For example, is it foreseeable that the evidence will be excluded because of the way it was obtained? If so, prosecutors must consider whether there is sufficient other evidence for a reasonable prospect of conviction.</p>
Could reasonably be expected to be satisfied	<p>What is required by the evidential test is that there is an objectively reasonable prospect of a conviction on the evidence. The apparent cogency and creditability of evidence is not a mathematical science, but rather a matter of judgment for the prosecutor. In forming his or her judgment the prosecutor shall endeavour to anticipate and evaluate likely defences.</p>
Beyond reasonable doubt	<p>The evidence available to the prosecutor must be capable of reaching the high standard of proof required by the criminal law.</p>

Element	Description
Commission of a criminal offence	This requires that careful analysis is made of the law in order to identify what offence or offences may have been committed and to consider the evidence against each of the ingredients which establish the particular offence.

THE PUBLIC INTEREST TEST

- 6.5 Once a prosecutor is satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to provide a reasonable prospect of conviction, the next consideration is whether the public interest requires a prosecution. It is not the rule that all offences for which there are sufficient evidence must be prosecuted. Prosecutors must exercise their discretion as to whether a prosecution is required in the public interest.
- 6.6 In a time honoured statement made in 1951 Sir Hartley Shawcross QC MP, the then United Kingdom Attorney-General, made the following statement to Parliament in relation to prosecutorial discretion:
- “It has never been the rule in this country ... that suspected criminal offences must automatically be subject of prosecution.”
- 6.7 Broadly, the presumption is that the public interest requires prosecution where there has been a contravention of the criminal law. This presumption provides the starting point for consideration of each individual case. In some instances the serious nature of the case will make the presumption a very strong one. However, there will be circumstances in which, although the evidence is sufficient to provide a reasonable prospect of conviction, the offence is not serious and prosecution is not required in the public interest. Prosecutors for instance should positively consider the appropriateness of any diversionary option (particularly if the defendant is a youth).
- 6.8 The following section lists some public interest considerations for prosecution which may be relevant and require consideration by a prosecutor when determining where the public interest lies in any particular case. The following list is illustrative only.

PUBLIC INTEREST CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROSECUTION

- 6.8.1 The predominant consideration is the seriousness of the offence. Where a conviction is likely to result in a significant penalty including any confiscation order or disqualification, then there is a strong public interest for a prosecution;
- 6.8.2 Where the defendant was in a position of authority or trust and the offence is an abuse of that position;
- 6.8.3 Where the defendant was a ringleader or an organiser of the offence;

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

- 6.8.4 Where the offence was premeditated;
 - 6.8.5 Where the offence was carried out by a group;
 - 6.8.6 Where the offence was carried out pursuant to a plan in pursuit of organised crime or was an offence involving serious or significant violence;
 - 6.8.7 Where the offence was motivated by hostility against a person because of their race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability, religion, political beliefs, age, the office they hold, or similar factors;
 - 6.8.8 Where the offence is prevalent;
 - 6.8.9 Where the offence has resulted in serious financial loss to an individual, corporation, trust person or society;
 - 6.8.10 Where the offence was committed against a person serving the public, for example a doctor, nurse, member of the ambulance service, member of the fire service or a member of the police;
 - 6.8.11 Where the victim of the offence, or their family, has been put in fear, or suffered personal attack, damage or disturbance. The more vulnerable the victim, the greater the aggravation;
 - 6.8.12 Where there is a marked difference between the actual or mental ages of the defendant and the victim and where the defendant took advantage of this;
 - 6.8.13 Where there is any element of corruption;
 - 6.8.14 Where the defendant has previous convictions, diversions or cautions which are relevant;
 - 6.8.15 Where the defendant is alleged to have committed an offence whilst on bail, on probation, or subject to a suspended sentence or an order binding the defendant to keep the peace, or when released on parole from a prison or a place of detention or otherwise subject to a Court order;
 - 6.8.16 Where there are grounds for believing that the offence is likely to be continued or repeated, for example, where there is a history of recurring conduct.
- 6.9 The following section lists some public interest considerations against prosecution which may be relevant and require consideration by a prosecutor when determining where the public interest lies in any particular case. The following list is illustrative only.

PUBLIC INTEREST CONSIDERATIONS AGAINST PROSECUTION

- 6.9.1 Where the Court is likely to impose a very small or nominal penalty;
- 6.9.2 Where the loss or harm can be described as minor and was the result of a single incident, particularly if it was caused by an error of judgement or a genuine mistake;
- 6.9.3 Where the offence is not on any test of a serious nature, and is unlikely to be repeated;
- 6.9.4 Where there has been a long passage of time between an offence taking place and the likely date of trial such as to give rise to undue delay or an abuse of process unless:
- the offence is serious;
 - delay has been caused in part by the defendant;
 - the offence has only recently come to light; or
 - the complexity of the offence has resulted in a lengthy investigation.
- 6.9.5 Where a prosecution is likely to have a detrimental effect on the physical or mental health of a victim or witness;
- 6.9.6 Where the defendant is elderly;
- 6.9.7 Where the defendant is a youth;
- 6.9.8 Where the defendant has no previous convictions;
- 6.9.9 Where the defendant was at the time of the offence or trial suffering from significant mental or physical ill-health;
- 6.9.10 Where the victim accepts that the defendant has rectified the loss or harm that was caused (although defendants must not be able to avoid prosecution simply because they pay compensation);
- 6.9.11 Where the recovery of the proceeds of crime can more effectively be pursued by civil action;
- 6.9.12 Where information may be made public that could disproportionately harm sources of information, international relations or national security;
- 6.9.13 Where any proper alternatives to prosecution are available.
- 6.10 These considerations are not comprehensive or exhaustive. The public interest considerations which may properly be taken into account when deciding whether the public interest requires prosecution will vary from case to case. In each case where the evidential test has been passed, the prosecutor will weigh the relevant public interest factors that are applicable. The prosecutor will then determine whether or not the public interest requires prosecution.

NO PROSECUTION

- 6.11 If the prosecutor decides that there is insufficient evidence or that it is not in the public interest to prosecute, a decision of ‘no prosecution’ will be taken.
- 6.12 A decision of ‘no prosecution’ does not preclude any further consideration of a case by the prosecutor, if new and additional evidence becomes available, or a review of the original decision is required.

7. REASONS FOR DECISIONS

- 7.1 Subject to considerations contained in the “Media Protocol for Prosecutors” (referred to at Guideline 30), in any case of wide public interest, the Crown Solicitor may if he or she sees fit, issue a statement giving broad reasons why a decision to prosecute or not to prosecute was made.
- 7.2 This step may also be taken by a Crown Solicitor in relation to a stay of proceedings or application under s 347 of the Crimes Act or a decision to offer no evidence.
- 7.3 The Solicitor-General should be consulted before any statements are issued by a Crown Solicitor.

8. REOPENING A PROSECUTION DECISION

- 8.1 People should be able to rely on decisions taken by prosecutors. Normally, if a prosecutor tells a suspect or defendant that there will not be a prosecution, or that the prosecution has been stopped, that is the end of the matter and the case will not start again.
- 8.2 Occasionally there are special reasons where a prosecutor will restart the prosecution where that course is available under the applicable law, particularly if the case is serious.
- 8.3 These reasons include:
- 8.3.1 Rare cases where a reassessment of the original decision shows that it was clearly wrong and should not be allowed to stand;
- 8.3.2 Cases which are stopped so that more evidence which is likely to become available in the near future can be collected and prepared. In these cases, the prosecutor will tell the defendant that the prosecution may well start again; and
- 8.3.3 Cases which are stopped because of a lack of evidence but where more significant evidence is discovered later.

9. THE CHOICE OF CHARGES

- 9.1 Once there has been a decision to prosecute a decision then needs to be made as to which charges should be laid.

- 9.2 In both the summary and indictable jurisdictions the nature and number of the charges laid should truly reflect the totality of the offending disclosed by the facts to be alleged at trial.
- 9.3 The number of charges must reflect the alleged conduct and the public interest in having appropriate convictions entered against the defendant.
- 9.4 Ordinarily, this will result in charges being brought in respect of all alleged crimes punishable by seven or more years' imprisonment.
- 9.5 The decision will also be weighted towards inclusion of charges where the alleged crimes are to be laid against a member of an organised crime organisation.
- 9.6 Neither the number nor seriousness of charges should be decided by having regard to the impact of that decision on the likelihood of an offer by the defendant to plead guilty to lesser charges.

10. REVIEW OF CHARGES

- 10.1 Wherever necessary and practicable, the charges to be laid whether summarily or on indictment should be reviewed by a senior prosecutor.
- 10.2 Once charges have been laid, and before trial, the prosecutor should review those charges to determine whether those are the charges that should be prosecuted or whether:
 - 10.2.1 Any of the charges should be amended to bring them into conformity with the evidence available;
 - 10.2.2 Other charges should be added;
 - 10.2.3 Any charges should be withdrawn (because, for example, they are no longer considered necessary in the public interest, or are not adequately supported by the evidence).

11. STATUTORY CONSENTS TO PROSECUTIONS

- 11.1 There are numerous offences that cannot be prosecuted without the consent of the Attorney-General. In practice this function is almost always undertaken by the Solicitor-General. Often, where offences may touch on matters of security or involve foreign relations or international treaty obligations, consent is required to ensure that the circumstances of the prosecution accord with the statutory purpose of the Act. The offence of bribery in relation to a Member of Parliament requires the consent of a High Court judge.
- 11.2 The process for recording consent is set out in s 314 of the Crimes Act 1961 and must be strictly followed. Prosecutors seeking the Attorney-General's consent must provide a copy of the information(s) and sufficient material to allow the Solicitor-General to properly consider the evidence and relevant circumstances of the alleged offence.

- 11.3 Prosecutors are referred to the list of statutory offences requiring the consent of the Attorney-General issued with these Guidelines.

12. IMMUNITIES FROM PROSECUTION

- 12.1 On occasions the prosecution case will depend upon the evidence of an accomplice or participant in an offence in order to proceed against a defendant considered to be a greater risk to the public safety, or more culpable.
- 12.2 Unless that potential witness has already been charged and sentenced he or she will be justified in declining to give evidence on the grounds of self-incrimination.
- 12.3 In such a case it will be necessary for the Crown to consider giving the witness immunity from prosecution. Immunity takes the form of a written undertaking from the Solicitor-General to exercise the power to stay if the witness is prosecuted for nominated offences. It thus protects the witness from both Crown and private prosecutions.
- 12.4 It is to be noted that the only person able to give such an undertaking is the Solicitor-General.
- 12.5 The only purpose in giving immunity is to enable the Crown to use otherwise unavailable evidence.
- 12.6 Immunities are to be used sparingly and only in cases where it is demonstrably clear that without the evidence given under immunity the prosecution case is unlikely to succeed, or there is a risk it will be significantly weakened.
- 12.7 Before agreeing to give immunity, the Solicitor-General will almost invariably need to be satisfied of at least the following matters:
- 12.7.1 That the offence in respect of which the evidence is to be given is serious;
 - 12.7.2 That all reasonably available avenues of gaining sufficient evidence to bring a successful prosecution other than relying upon the evidence to be given under immunity, have been exhausted;
 - 12.7.3 That the evidence to be given under immunity is admissible, relevant and significantly strengthens the prosecution case;
 - 12.7.4 That the witness, while having committed some identifiable offence, is not an equal or greater risk to the public safety than the person to be tried;
 - 12.7.5 That the evidence to be given under immunity is apparently credible and, preferably, supported by other admissible material;
 - 12.7.6 That no inducement, other than the possibility of an immunity, has been suggested to the witness;

- 12.7.7 That admissible evidence exists, sufficient to charge the witness with the offences he or she is believed to have committed.
- 12.8 The formal opinion of prosecuting counsel (almost invariably the Crown Solicitor) regarding the merits of the immunity will be required.
- 12.9 The witness who is to testify under immunity should provide a brief of the evidence he or she is to give. That person should be advised that they should seek independent legal advice, the reasonable cost of which will be met by the prosecution. The witness must be advised that should the application for immunity be declined the brief of evidence and any other information obtained from that person in connection with a promise to apply for immunity cannot be used against that person.

13. WITNESS ANONYMITY ORDERS

- 13.1 Under s 110 of the Evidence Act 2006 both the prosecutor and the accused in a trial on indictment may seek a pre-trial order from a Judge excusing the person who is to testify from disclosing to the other party that person's name, address, occupation or other identifying particulars.
- 13.2 Such orders may be sought prior to, during or after committal, or during trial.
- 13.3 The order may be made where the safety of the witness or any other person is likely to be endangered, or there is likely to be serious damage to property if the witness's identity is disclosed: and withholding that person's identity would not be contrary to the interests of justice.
- 13.4 All applications for witness anonymity orders by an enforcement agency must have the prior approval of the Solicitor-General. In truly exigent circumstances retrospective approval will be contemplated.
- 13.5 When the application is made the Solicitor-General must be provided with material from the person in relation to whom the order is sought; either in statement or affidavit form, explaining that person's perception of the likely danger to them or the risk of serious damage to property. That statement must be accompanied by a report from the Police as to the likelihood of danger, or serious damage to property and with an opinion from or through the Crown Solicitor as to the application of ss 110(4)(a) or 112(4) of the Evidence Act 2006.

14. BAIL

- 14.1 Generally, all matters relating to bail are codified in the Bail Act 2000. In addition s 24(b) of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 provides that those who are charged with criminal offences shall be released on reasonable terms and conditions unless there is just cause for continued detention.
- 14.2 The core principles in relation to whether to remand the defendant in custody or order release on bail are found in s 8 of the Bail Act 2000.

- 14.3 Prosecutors opposing bail must base their opposition only on factors relevant to bail and on the basis of credible, cogent and relevant information.
- 14.4 Where, by virtue of s 8(2)(b) of the Bail Act 2000 the issue of bail involves the strength of the prosecution case, prosecutors must pay special attention to s 20(2) of that Act.
- 14.5 In accordance with s 29 of the Victims' Rights Act 2002, prosecutors must make all reasonable efforts to ensure any views of the victim are put before the Court where an application for bail is made by a defendant charged with a specified offence under s 30.
- 14.6 Prosecutors must take account of the *Bail Practice Note (Bail Act 2000)* of 7 February 2002 issued by the Chief District Court Judge which details the Court's expectations of prosecution counsel.
- 14.7 Crown Solicitors appear on bail matters in two different capacities. At any stage of the proceedings before an indictment is filed, the Crown Solicitor or a member of his or her prosecution staff appear on instructions from the informant. Post-indictment the Crown Solicitor appears as the prosecutor.
- 14.8 In both capacities the Crown Solicitor must seek and accept police expertise as to any bail risks presented by the defendant, however, the ultimate decision as to what will be said to the Court about eligibility for bail is the responsibility of the Crown Solicitor. This is not incompatible with the role of the Police whose legitimate views as to bail must be placed before the Court.

15. DISCLOSURE

- 15.1 Proper disclosure is seen as central to preventing wrongful convictions. Under the Criminal Disclosure Act 2008, a "prosecutor" is the person in charge of the file or files relating to a criminal prosecution. Where the proceeding is on indictment a Crown Solicitor or his or her employees will have custody of the trial file but the person in charge of the files is the person designated by the NZ Police (or other prosecuting agency) as the Officer in Charge of the file. A Crown Solicitor or his or her employees should not be considered the prosecutor for the purposes of the Act. In any prosecution conducted by a Crown Solicitor other than on indictment that person as well as the informant is relevantly a "prosecutor" in terms of the Act.
- 15.2 The Act prescribes a comprehensive regime for disclosure by prosecutors to a defendant. Notwithstanding a Crown Solicitor not having charge of the file or files he or she in a trial on indictment must ensure that the person in control of the relevant files is aware of and has complied with the obligations imposed by the Criminal Disclosure Act 2008.
- 15.3 This obligation will not be carried into effect merely by seeking assurances from enforcement agencies that the trial file given to the Crown Solicitor contains all necessary disclosure material and that any other material disclosed represents complete disclosure.

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

- 15.4 For the purpose of disclosure, the Crown Solicitor and the enforcement agencies shall ensure that the Crown Solicitor has access to all relevant information relating to the charges in the possession of the enforcement agencies.
- 15.5 The Criminal Disclosure Act 2008 requires disclosure to a defendant to occur at four phases, namely:
- 15.5.1 Initial disclosure (s 12(1));
 - 15.5.2 Further disclosure (s 12(2));
 - 15.5.3 Full disclosure (s 13);
 - 15.5.4 Additional disclosure (s 14).

INITIAL DISCLOSURE

- 15.6 Initial disclosure requires a prosecutor to provide the defendant at the commencement of criminal proceedings with the following information:
- 15.6.1 A summary which fairly informs the defendant of the facts on which it is alleged an offence has been committed and the facts alleged against the defendant.
 - 15.6.2 The defendant's right to apply for further information before entering a plea.
 - 15.6.3 The maximum penalty for the offence.
 - 15.6.4 A list of the defendant's previous convictions or offences to which s 284(1)(g) Children, Young Persons, and their Families Act 1989 applies.

FURTHER DISCLOSURE

- 15.7 Further disclosure can occur at any time after the criminal proceedings are commenced. Further disclosure must be provided as soon as is reasonably practicable when it is requested in writing by the defendant. The following information may be covered by a request for further disclosure:
- 15.7.1 The names of any witnesses whom the prosecutor intends to call at the hearing or trial; and
 - 15.7.2 A list of the exhibits that are proposed to be produced on behalf of the prosecution at the hearing or trial; and
 - 15.7.3 A copy of all records of the interviews with the defendant; and
 - 15.7.4 A copy of all records of interviews with prosecution witnesses by a law enforcement officer that contain relevant information; and

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

- 15.7.5 A copy of job sheets and other notes of evidence completed or taken by a law enforcement officer that contain relevant information; and
- 15.7.6 A copy of any records of the evidence produced by a testing device that contains relevant information; and
- 15.7.7 A copy of any diagrams and photographs made or taken by a law enforcement officer that contains relevant information and are intended to be introduced as evidence as part of the case for the prosecution; and
- 15.7.8 A copy of any video interview with the defendant; and
- 15.7.9 A copy of relevant records concerning compliance with New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990; and
- 15.7.10 A copy of any statement made by or record of interview with a co-defendant in any case where the defendants are to be prosecuted together for the same offence; and
- 15.7.11 A list of any of the above information that the prosecutor refuses to disclose pursuant to ss 15, 16, 17 or 18 of the Criminal Disclosure Act 2008, and such further details relating to that refusal as required by the Act.

FULL DISCLOSURE

- 15.8 Full disclosure occurs as soon as possible after the defendant either:
 - 15.8.1 Pleads not guilty to an offence proceeded against summarily;
 - 15.8.2 Elects trial by jury;
 - 15.8.3 First appears in court on an indictable charge.
- 15.9 Full disclosure includes the following information:
 - 15.9.1 A copy of any statement made by a prosecution witness; and
 - 15.9.2 A copy of any brief of evidence that has been prepared in relation to a prosecution witness; and
 - 15.9.3 The name and, if, disclosure is authorised under s 17 of the Criminal Disclosure Act 2008, the address of any person interviewed by the prosecutor who gave relevant information and whom the prosecutor does not intend to call as a witness; and
 - any written account of the interview, whether signed or unsigned, and any other record of the interview; and
 - any statement made to the prosecutor by the person; and
 - 15.9.4 Any convictions of a prosecution witness that are known to the prosecutor and that may affect the credibility of that witness; and

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

- 15.9.5 A list of all exhibits that the prosecutor proposes to have introduced as evidence as part of the case; and
- 15.9.6 A list of all relevant exhibits in the possession of the prosecutor that the prosecutor does not propose to have introduced as evidence; and
- 15.9.7 A copy of any information supplied to the prosecutor in connection with the case by any person or persons whom the prosecutor proposes to call to give evidence as an expert witness or witnesses; and
- 15.9.8 A copy of any relevant information supplied to the prosecutor by a person or persons whom the prosecutor considered calling to give evidence as an expert witness or witnesses, but elected not to call.

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE

- 15.10 At any time after the duty to make full disclosure has arisen the defendant may make a request for additional information. The prosecutor or Crown Solicitor must comply with this additional request, unless:
 - 15.10.1 The request is for information that is not relevant;
 - 15.10.2 The request appears to be frivolous or vexatious;
 - 15.10.3 The request relates to information that may be withheld under ss 15, 16, 17, or 18 Criminal Disclosure Act 2008.

TRIAL ON INDICTMENT

- 15.11 The prosecutor is not limited at trial to the evidence filed or adduced for the purpose of committal. However, s 368(1) of the Crimes Act 1961 provides that the trial may be adjourned or the jury discharged if the accused has been prejudiced by the surprise production of a witness who has not made a deposition. Therefore in practice the prosecutor should provide adequate notice of intention to call any additional witness and provide the defence and the Court with a brief of the evidence that witness will give.

INFORMATION WHICH THE PROSECUTOR DOES NOT INTEND TO PRODUCE IN EVIDENCE

- 15.12 Prosecutors are reminded to make available to the defence the names, and if authorised under s 17 Criminal Disclosure Act 2008, the addresses of all those who have been interviewed who are able to give evidence on a relevant subject but whom the prosecution does not intend to call, irrespective of the prosecutor's view of credibility. It is for the prosecutor to decide whether the evidence is "relevant". "Relevance" is defined in s 8 Criminal Disclosure Act 2008 as meaning "... information or an exhibit ... that tends to support or rebut, or has a material bearing on, the case against the defendant".

CHARACTER OF WITNESSES

- 15.13 Before all defended trials the prosecution has a duty to disclose any previous convictions of a proposed witness where credibility is likely to be in issue and the conviction could reasonably be said to be relevant or affect credibility (*Wilson v Police & Anor* [1992] 2 NZLR 533 (CA)).
- 15.14 For trials on indictment an enforcement agency entitled to access criminal record databases should do so as a matter of course. If the enforcement agency is in doubt about whether a conviction should be disclosed, counsel's advice should be taken. Any list of convictions should be supplied a reasonable time before trial. If the prosecuting agency intends to withhold details of convictions, the defendant should be notified in sufficient time to enable rulings to be sought from the trial court.

DISCLOSURE OF ANY INDUCEMENT OR IMMUNITY GIVEN TO A WITNESS

- 15.15 The defendant must always be advised of the terms of any immunity from prosecution given to any witness. Likewise the existence of any other factor which might operate as an inducement to a witness to give evidence should be disclosed to the defendant. This includes the fact that the witness has been paid for providing information (*R v Chignell* [1991] 2 NZLR 257).

IDENTITY OF INFORMER

- 15.16 There will be good reason for restricting disclosure where the identity of an informer is at stake. The general principle is that the identity of an informer may not be disclosed unless the Judge is of the opinion that the disclosure of the name of the informer, or of the nature of the information is necessary or desirable in order to establish the innocence of the defendant.
- 15.17 A statutory restriction on disclosure of the true identity of undercover police officers is contained in s 108 Evidence Act 2006.

MINOR OFFENCES

- 15.18 In the case of minor (as opposed to summary) offences, defined in s 20A(12) Summary Proceedings Act 1957, the prosecution must serve on the defence a notice of prosecution which will provide information in a brief form as to the essential nature of the charge and other relevant matters outlined in the section.

OBLIGATIONS OR REQUESTS UNDER OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT 1982

- 15.19 Crown Solicitors are not subject to the Official Information Act 1982. While as a matter of practical convenience they may facilitate responses to requests for information, they are not as a matter of law obliged to do so. The responsibility to provide information rests on the Police or other enforcement agency, and requests made of a Crown Solicitor should be referred to them. The Crown Solicitor should be advised of all information supplied to other parties.

- 15.20 Personal information (i.e. that particular category of official information held about an identifiable person) is the subject of an explicit right of access, upon request, given to that person, unless it comes within some limited exceptions.
- 15.21 The effect of the Court of Appeal decision in *Commissioner of Police v Ombudsman* [1988] 1 NZLR 385 is that the exercise of a defendant's right to personal information will not ordinarily prejudice the maintenance of the law (and fair trials).
- 15.22 The aim of pre-trial disclosure is to ensure fairness to the defendant and to achieve efficiency in the prosecution process. Bearing those aims in mind, any doubt as to whether the balance is in favour of, or against disclosure should be resolved in favour of disclosure.

“THIRD PARTY” DISCLOSURE

- 15.23 The Criminal Disclosure Act 2008 makes provision for a defendant to seek orders that a person other than the prosecutor disclose information likely to assist the defence. Section 26(1)(b) of the Act requires notice of the application to be served on the prosecutor and that person may be heard at a hearing under s 27 of the Criminal Disclosure Act 2008.
- 15.24 At any hearing the prosecutor, while mindful of the right to a fair trial, may make submissions that assist the Court on the question of the relevance or admissibility of the evidence sought and, particularly where a third party is unrepresented, remind the Court of any statutory or other values favouring the privacy or property rights of the third party.

CONTEMPT APPLICATIONS

- 15.25 In relation to a s 27 non-party disclosure hearing, any contempt application under s 29(6) of the Criminal Disclosure Act should be referred to the Deputy Solicitor-General (Criminal).

16. PLEA DISCUSSIONS AND ARRANGEMENTS

- 16.1 Principled plea discussions and arrangements have a significant value for the administration of the criminal justice system, including:
- 16.1.1 Relieving victims or complainants from the burden of the trial process;
 - 16.1.2 Releasing the saved costs in Court and judicial time, prosecution costs, and legal aid resources to be better deployed in other areas of need;
 - 16.1.3 Providing a structured environment in which the defendant may accept any appropriate responsibility for his or her offending that may be reflected in any sentence imposed.
- 16.2 The process of plea discussion and arrangement must not be initiated by the prosecutor. Any discussions should be between the prosecutor and defence

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

counsel, and not directly with the defendant. In any case where the defendant has waived their right to counsel, any question of appropriate charges should be dealt with by way of a sentence indication hearing.

- 16.3 The Solicitor-General, however, views it as appropriate for a prosecutor (with the approval of the relevant Crown Solicitor generally or in particular cases) to indicate to defence counsel a willingness to consult concerning disposition of charges by plea.
- 16.4 No plea discussion or arrangement should take place on the premise that the prosecutor will support a specific sentence. Any question of sentence will proceed on the basis of the prosecutor's obligation to the Court under Guideline 19.
- 16.5 Any plea arrangement must be properly recorded in a form capable of being placed before a Court. The prosecutor may not depart from the terms of an arrangement unless he or she has been materially misled by any information (from any source) as to the facts relied on in the plea discussions and the Solicitor-General agrees that it is appropriate in the circumstances to repudiate the arrangement in whole or in part.
- 16.6 The victim or complainant must be informed of any plea discussions and given sufficient opportunity to make his or her position as to any proposed plea arrangement known to the prosecutor. It is expected that prosecutors will establish or continue effective processes to manage the victims' expectations, consistent with the principle that while victims' rights are an integral part of the criminal justice system, ultimately the prosecutor must make decisions based on the public interest and interests of justice.
- 16.7 There is no principle that plea arrangements may not be contemplated in cases where the charge laid is "clearly supported" by the evidence. The overarching consideration is the interests of justice, however, it is not acceptable for the defence to offer or for the prosecutor to accept facts for the purposes of plea discussion that are misleading, or for either party to suppress relevant information that should properly be before the Court.
- 16.8 Any document in the nature of a summary of facts must contain a full and verifiable account of the charges laid and must not contain or omit any material fact for the purposes of any plea arrangement with the defendant.
- 16.9 No plea arrangement may be concluded in any case where the nature of the crime or the alleged offender is such that it is clearly in the public interest that the matter proceeds on the basis of the charges laid.
- 16.10 The current rule, that all plea arrangements in relation to murder charges must be approved by the Solicitor-General, is continued.
- 16.11 Where there is to be a sentence indication hearing, any plea arrangements should be notified to the presiding Judge.

17. THE PROSECUTOR AND TRIAL FAIRNESS

- 17.1 The duties of prosecutors in relation to the accused's right to a fair trial involve numerous and complex principles.
- 17.2 The principal areas where the duties arise are:
- 17.2.1 Disclosure. All Crown Solicitors and prosecutors must ensure they comply with the disclosure obligations contained in the Criminal Disclosure Act 2008.
 - 17.2.2 In prosecuting. Prosecutors represent the public and the public interest. Presenting the prosecution case requires professionalism, objectivity and detachment that is also cognisant of the reasonable needs of any victims of the crime.
 - 17.2.3 The prosecutor must not display what could appear to be a personal interest in the outcome, and must act with regard to the overarching values of a fair trial.
 - 17.2.4 The prosecutor may be obliged to call a witness although that person adds little to the prosecution case but whose testimony may favour the defendant's case.
 - 17.2.5 A prosecutor may call a witness who is likely to be declared a hostile witness if the prosecutor reasonably believes that cross-examination will be allowed by the Judge pursuant to s 94 of the Evidence Act 2006.
 - 17.2.6 In trials on indictment the prosecutor should be prepared to assist the trial Judge on matters of fact or law in relation to any matter in the summing up, whether or not the matter relates to the prosecutor's case.

18. ASSISTANCE TO THE COURT

- 18.1 The obtaining of a conviction is a consequence, but not the purpose of a prosecution.
- 18.2 Without compromising their professional obligations and public responsibilities prosecutors should, where appropriate, assist the trial court in the fair, prompt and cost efficient disposal of criminal matters.
- 18.3 In particular, but without limiting the general obligation, prosecutors should be astute to ensure that:
- 18.3.1 The number of witnesses called at trial is reasonably necessary.
 - 18.3.2 Courts continue to be given information and submissions of a standard upon which the Court can rely.

18.3.3 In the case of an unrepresented accused where there is no amicus the court is informed of any matter appearing to show that the accused is unable reasonably to conduct his or her case.

18.3.4 The summing up is free from errors of fact or law irrespective of whether the particular point was more properly one for the accused's trial counsel to make.

19. THE PROSECUTOR AND SENTENCING

19.1 Prosecutors must take account of the Practice Note of 21 May 2003, *Sentencing*, issued by the Chief Justice and the Chief District Court Judge.

19.2 The prosecutor must file a sentencing memorandum that draws the attention of the Court to the proven facts of the case and any binding or relevant sentencing principles. The memorandum should include a full submission as to the proper sentencing options.

19.3 While counsel for the prosecution should not press for a particular term of imprisonment or any other sentence, the prosecutor should ensure that the sentencing court is aware of:

19.3.1 Any applicable principles from the Courts;

19.3.2 All proven aggravating factors including the convicted person's criminal record; and

19.3.3 The impact on any victims of the offending.

19.4 Prosecutors must take account of the Practice Note issued by the Chief District Court Judge, *Committal Procedure in the District Court* (in force from 29 June 2009), paragraphs 13–20, as to the procedure for sentence indication hearings prior to committal or trial in the District Court.

20. PRINCIPLES RELATING TO INDICTMENTS

20.1 Guideline 5 sets out in general terms the respective powers and responsibilities of informants and Crown Solicitors.

20.2 The Crown Solicitor is responsible for the counts laid in an indictment, and the number of those counts.

20.3 The charges laid must be founded on the evidence disclosed in any depositions, and should reasonably reflect the criminality disclosed on the evidence.

20.4 The Crown Solicitor should ensure that the number of counts in an indictment whether or not arising from the same or related criminal acts are truly necessary to reflect the totality of the allegations or are necessary having regard to the test for prosecution.

- 20.5 The same principle should be applied to joinder of parties. Those to be indicted jointly should be joined only where joinder is necessary to put the full picture before the jury, or the person joined has played more than a minor role in the offending.
- 20.6 In decisions both as to joinder of counts or joinder of parties, the Crown Solicitor should take into account the cost of prosecuting multiple counts and parties in proportion to the seriousness of the offending and any likely sentence.
- 20.7 Where persons to be indicted are members of an organised criminal group that factor will be relevant to the joinder of parties.

21. PRE-TRIAL APPLICATIONS

- 21.1 The need for, and nature of, pre-trial applications brought by the Crown are, and will remain, a matter of judgement for the prosecutor. It is anticipated that in all such cases the Crown Solicitor will ensure through effective quality control mechanisms that all applications are justified in the circumstances at the time, are properly supported by the relevant law and evidence, and are filed in a timely fashion.
- 21.2 In relation to applications as to the admissibility of evidence under s 344A of the Crimes Act 1961, the prosecutor is not necessarily obliged to file an application if they believe there is no arguable case as to admissibility of the evidence in question.
- 21.3 Prosecutors must take account of the *Practice Note on Pre-Trial Applications in High Court and District Court Criminal Jury Cases* of 5 November 2007 issued by the Chief Judges of the High Court and District Court.

22. JURY SELECTION

- 22.1 The Supreme Court judgment in *R v Gordon-Smith (No 2)* [2009] 1 NZLR 725 confirmed the lawfulness of the practice known as “jury vetting”, whereby Crown Solicitors receive from the Police information about previous criminal convictions of those whose names appear on the jury panel, to assist in determining whether or not to challenge those people from becoming jurors.
- 22.2 It is to be noted that the practice of jury vetting does not apply to persons whose criminal convictions are covered by the Criminal Records (Clean Slate) Act 2004.
- 22.3 In *Gordon-Smith* the Supreme Court held that a Crown Solicitor should disclose to an accused any previous convictions of a potential juror known to the Crown, if the previous convictions give rise to a real risk that the juror might be prejudiced against the accused or in favour of the Crown. Disclosure is otherwise not required.

23. RETRIALS AND STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

- 23.1 The common law right of the Attorney-General to intervene in the prosecution process and to stay any prosecution from proceeding further is recognised in ss 77A and 159 of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957 and s 378 of the Crimes Act 1961.
- 23.2 In New Zealand the power to stay has been sparingly exercised. That conservative approach is likely to continue.
- 23.3 Generally speaking the power of entering a stay will be exercised in three types of situation:
- 23.3.1 Where a jury has been unable to agree after two trials. After a second disagreement the Crown Solicitor must refer the matter to the Solicitor-General for consideration of a stay. A stay will normally be directed unless the Solicitor-General is satisfied that some event, not relating to the strength of the Crown's case, brought about one or both of the disagreements, or that new and persuasive evidence would be available on a third trial, or that there is some other exceptional circumstance making a third trial desirable in the interests of justice.
- 23.3.2 If the Solicitor-General is satisfied that the prosecution was commenced wrongly, or that circumstances have so altered since it was commenced as to make its continuation oppressive or otherwise unjust, a stay will be directed.
- 23.3.3 A stay will be directed to clear outstanding or stale charges or otherwise to conclude unresolved charges; for example, where an offender has been convicted on serious charges but a jury has disagreed on other less serious charges, or a convicted person is serving a substantial sentence and continuing with further charges would serve no worthwhile purpose.
- 23.4 The possible circumstances which may justify a stay under Guidelines 23.3.2 and 23.3.3 above are variable. In general terms, however, the same considerations will apply as are involved in the original decision to prosecute, always with the overriding concern that a prosecution not be continued when its continuance would be oppressive or otherwise not in the interests of justice.

24. APPEALS FROM THE SUMMARY JURISDICTION

QUESTIONS OF LAW

- 24.1 Prosecutors may appeal only on questions of law from a decision dismissing an information following a summary hearing. The appeal provisions of s 107 of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957 are not intended to create a right of appeal based on the merits of the case.
- 24.2 There must be a question of law that:

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

- 24.2.1 Was a significant factor in the disposition of the case; and
- 24.2.2 Has sufficient public interest to engage the High Court or Court of Appeal.
- 24.3 Any prosecutor who is acting for a Government agency must obtain the consent of the Solicitor-General before lodging an appeal against a decision from a summary hearing.
- 24.4 The prosecutor must provide the Solicitor-General with:
 - 24.4.1 The decision to be appealed;
 - 24.4.2 Where that is not reasonably available, a full note of the issue for appeal and background to the prosecution;
 - 24.4.3 The proposed draft question of law; and
 - 24.4.4 All relevant circumstances of the prosecution going to the public interest in appealing to the High Court.

SENTENCE

- 24.5 Prosecutors may appeal against sentence only with the consent of the Solicitor-General pursuant to s 115A of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957. This applies to sentences imposed after summary conviction on indictable matters where the sentence does not exceed 5 years' imprisonment and/or a fine of \$10,000.
- 24.6 Appeals will not be filed unless the sentence imposed is, in all of the circumstances, plainly inadequate or contrary to principle.
- 24.7 In considering whether a sentence is plainly inadequate the prosecutor must take into account the settled principle that the court in allowing such an appeal will increase the sentence only to the lower end of the applicable sentencing range.
- 24.8 Where the appeal is to be taken on the grounds of error of principle it will be necessary to:
 - 24.8.1 Identify the principle; and
 - 24.8.2 Demonstrate either:
 - that the principle is one of application beyond the facts of the particular case, or
 - the sentence has brought about an unfairness having regard to sentences imposed on co-offenders, or in similar cases where the offenders are serving a term of imprisonment.
- 24.9 For the purposes of considering an application for consent to appeal against sentence the Solicitor-General must, as soon as practicable after sentence is imposed, be provided with:

- 24.9.1 The charges in issue;
 - 24.9.2 The notes of evidence or a full summary of facts;
 - 24.9.3 Copies of pre-sentence reports and information placed before the sentencing judge;
 - 24.9.4 The Judge's reasons for the sentence or a full account of those reasons;
 - 24.9.5 An opinion from the relevant Crown Solicitor as to the merits of the proposed appeal, or from the prosecutor through that person's supervisor in the case of a summary prosecution.
- 24.10 The time limit for appeals against sentence from the summary jurisdiction is 28 days, and extensions of time will be contemplated only in very special cases. Time is therefore of the essence in seeking the necessary statutory approval.

25. APPEALS: PRE-TRIAL RULINGS

- 25.1 No appeal against a pre-trial ruling may be taken without the prior approval of the Solicitor-General.
- 25.2 In seeking such approval the Crown Solicitor shall forward to the Crown Law Office:
 - 25.2.1 The pre-trial ruling;
 - 25.2.2 Any notes of evidence taken at a *voir dire*;
 - 25.2.3 A copy of the submissions as to law and fact made at the pre-trial hearing;
 - 25.2.4 Where it is not evident, a note of the importance of the evidence to the prosecution case.
- 25.3 The Crown Solicitor should be aware of the amended rules under the Court of Appeal (Criminal) Rules 2001 which require more detailed information in relation to leave applications, in designated formats, than was previously the case.
- 25.4 Under Rule 5B(3) an application by a prosecutor for leave to appeal must be made by way of notice under Form 1B which requires detailed reasons. Rule 5C requires that the respondent who is served with the notice of application for leave to appeal must respond within 5 working days by way of reply memorandum, and consent or oppose the application with reasons.
- 25.5 It is would therefore assist the Solicitor-General if the Crown Solicitor sets out in a cover letter, with the above documentation, the reasons for leave, or the reasons why the Solicitor-General as respondent should consent to or oppose the leave application.

- 25.6 Prosecutors should also be aware of the 10 day time limit to file notification of a leave to appeal application under s 379A of the Crimes Act. Notice must be given within 10 days (not “working days”) after the decision of the Court is given, irrespective of whether reasons for the decision are given at a later date, or whether any further steps are required in respect of the decision. Often a critical factor in relation to these appeals will be the trial date and any reason why the trial may not be adjourned.
- 25.7 The provisions of this Guideline with necessary modifications apply to appeals on a question of law, following the discharge of an accused or stay of prosecution, as provided under s 381A of the Crimes Act 1961.
- 25.8 Section 381A(2) provides that the prosecutor must apply as soon as reasonably practicable after the Judge gives their reasons for the direction, and in no case later than 10 days after the reasons have been given. If an application is made to the Judge after the 10 day period, it is unlikely to be entertained.

26. APPEALS: SENTENCE (INDICTABLE)

- 26.1 Appeals against sentence must by law be the subject of a leave application in the name of the Solicitor-General. In seeking the exercise of this power prosecutors must take into account:
- 26.1.1 A sentence will be increased on a Solicitor-General appeal only where it is plainly inadequate;
- 26.1.2 Any increase will take the sentence imposed only to the lower end of the correct available range;
- 26.1.3 However, despite 26.1.2 above, an appeal may be justified where the appeal involves an important matter of principle, or the case is to be taken to establish or modify a sentencing guideline judgment.
- 26.2 Prosecutors should forward to the Solicitor-General:
- 26.2.1 The sentencing notes;
- 26.2.2 Any relevant evidence;
- 26.2.3 The report before the sentencing judge;
- 26.2.4 All the submissions on sentence made before the sentencing judge.
- 26.3 In the case of proposed sentence appeals in the indictable jurisdiction, there must be a clear indication that the relevant Crown Solicitor has reviewed and endorsed the application to the Solicitor-General.
- 26.4 The time limit for all appeals against sentence in the indictable jurisdiction is 28 days, and extensions of time will be contemplated only in very special cases. Time is therefore of the essence in seeking the necessary statutory approval.

27. APPEALS: RESERVING QUESTIONS OF LAW

27.1 Pursuant to s 380 of the Crimes Act 1961 the prosecutor may seek to have a question of law reserved by a trial judge. For jurisdictional reasons the question must be reserved (although not stated) while the defendant is in the charge of the jury. It is the obligation of the prosecutor to ensure that the point to be reserved is:

27.1.1 A question of law; and

27.1.2 Of sufficient importance to reserve either:

- because of its public importance; or
- the seriousness of the counts to which the question relates.

27.2 If the Court of Appeal in consequence of the s 380 procedure orders a new trial the provisions of Guideline 6 will continue to be relevant.

28. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CROWN SOLICITORS AND ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES

THE POLICE OR OTHER INVESTIGATOR

28.1 Crown Solicitors appear in the criminal courts in two distinct capacities, namely on instructions from an informant or, after committal, as the Crown's representative.

28.2 In summary hearings or committal hearings any appearance of the Crown Solicitor or his or her prosecutors will be on the instructions of the informant.

28.3 In this capacity, the Crown Solicitor must still act in accordance with the applicable guidelines as if prosecuting on indictment.

28.4 While the Crown Solicitor appears on instructions, it is the Law Officers' expectation that informants who are Government agencies will be bound to follow the advice of the Crown Solicitor as to the nature of the charges and conduct of the prosecution.

28.5 Where the informant is a member of the Police, the relationship between that person and the Crown Solicitor shall be in accordance with protocols issued as part of these Guidelines.

RECIPIENTS OF ADVICE

28.6 Due to the increasing complexity of the criminal law and considerations arising from the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990, many criminal or regulatory investigations will require specialised legal advice from the earliest stages.

28.7 In this regard, Crown Solicitors are expected to have and maintain sufficient capacity to give advice as and when necessary, and to develop and maintain

appropriate relationships with the locally based Government agencies and Police to ensure effective legal advice is sought and given.

- 28.8 In giving investigative advice, the solicitor-client relationship is modified to the extent that the investigators to whom the advice is directed are expected to act in accordance with that advice.

THE POLICE: POST-COMMITTAL

- 28.9 Once a defendant has been committed for trial, all decisions in relation to disclosure, the charges laid, the evidence to be adduced, the conduct of the prosecution and the nature and scope of any continuing investigation (where it is probable that will result in evidence or information relevant to the trial) are matters solely for the Crown Solicitor to decide.
- 28.10 In the discharge of this responsibility, Crown Solicitors are expected to consult closely with the officer in charge of the case and to explain the basis of any significant decision.

29. VICTIMS

- 29.1 Victims of crime in the criminal justice system are to be:
- 29.1.1 Treated with courtesy and compassion; and with
 - 29.1.2 Respect for their dignity and privacy.
- 29.2 The key means of observing these principles is through the provision of information to ensure that victims understand the process and know what is happening at each stage. So far as is possible, the victim should have explained to them the court processes and procedures, and should be kept informed of what is happening during the course of the proceedings.
- 29.3 Prosecutors should seek to protect the victim's interests as best they can whilst fulfilling their duty to the Court and in the conduct of the prosecution on behalf of the Crown.
- 29.4 Crown Solicitors are referred to the protocol "Victims of Crime – Guidance for Prosecutors" (reissued with these Guidelines) for greater detail as to the role and duties of prosecutors in respect of victims.

30. MEDIA

- 30.1 When communicating with the public through the media, prosecutors are to ensure that they:
- 30.1.1 Avoid making remarks that may prejudice fair trial interests;
 - 30.1.2 Support the administration of justice and the integrity of the criminal justice system;
 - 30.1.3 Respect the principle of open justice;

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

- 30.1.4 Recognise the public interest in receiving accurate information about the criminal justice system and criminal prosecutions; and
 - 30.1.5 Treat victims of crime with courtesy and compassion, and respect their dignity and privacy.
- 30.2 Crown Solicitors are referred to the document “Media Protocol for Prosecutors” (reissued with these Guidelines) for greater detail as to the role and duties of prosecutors in respect of the media.

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES